

Indiana Academy of Family Physicians

2019 Research Day

Abstract Review

Case Presentation

1. Objective

- (0) - Objective not stated
- (1)
- (2) - Objective stated but doesn't correlate with conclusions or is vague
- (3)
- (4) – Objective well stated; reflected in conclusion

2. Case

- (0) – Case poorly stated, unclear, or difficult to follow; missing major important facts
- (1)
- (2) – Case adequately described but not unique or original in any way, or too many non-useful facts presented
- (3)
- (4) – Case succinctly describes a unique, rare, or unusual medical situation

3. Discussion

- (0) – No similarities or differences to other cases presented; literature not discussed
- (1)
- (2) – Similarities and differences discussed but nothing “new” brought to light through the case
- (3)
- (4) – Useful similarities and differences in comparison to literature; brings helpful insight to the reader

4. Conclusion

- (0) – None present
- (1)
- (2) - Conclusion doesn't bring useful implications for care, or advice or suggestions to the reader
- (3)
- (4) - Conclusion correlates well and brings insightful information to reader

5. Overall Impression

- (0) – Poorly written, missing significant amount of information
- (1)
- (2) - Adequately written but needs more information
- (3)
- (4) - Well written, acceptable for presentation

6. Recommendation for presentation

___ Not acceptable for poster or oral presentation (comment why)

___ Acceptable, but should be poster rather than oral presentation (comment why)

___ Accept for oral presentation with these items addressed (state)

___ Accept for oral presentation