

Indiana Academy of Family Physicians

2019 Research Day

Abstract Review

Original Research

1. Objective

- (0) - Objective not stated
- (1)
- (2) - Objective stated but doesn't correlate with conclusions or is vague
- (3)
- (4) – Objective well stated; reflected in conclusion

2. Design

- (0) – Methods or design not stated
- (1)
- (2) – Vague, difficult to understand
- (3)
- (4) – Well stated, clear

3. Setting and population/participants

- (0) – Neither setting nor population is defined
- (1)
- (2) – Partially defined, or either is defined but not clear to reader
- (3)
- (4) – Setting and population/participants are complete and understandable

4. Intervention

- (0) – Intervention not addressed
- (1)
- (2) – Intervention is defined but difficult to understand
- (3)
- (4) - Clearly defined. If no intervention, this is stated

5. Outcomes measured

- (0) – No outcomes measurements stated
- (1)
- (2) – Outcomes are stated but poorly defined or not addressed through the intervention
- (3)
- (4) - well-defined and measurable. Applicable to the study

6. Results

- (0) – Results do not reflect a measurement of the stated outcomes
- (1)
- (2) – Results are present, but it is unclear how they were obtained (i.e. statistics used?)
- (3)
- (4) - Results are clear, well-defined, and reflect the measured outcomes

7. Conclusion

- (0) – None present
- (1)
- (2) - Conclusion doesn't correlate with objectives or results
- (3)
- (4) - Conclusion well-stated and correlates to objectives and results

8. Overall Impression

- (0) – Poorly written, missing significant amount of information
- (1)
- (2) - Adequately written but needs more information
- (3)
- (4) - Well written, acceptable for presentation

9. Recommendation for presentation

- ___ Not acceptable for poster or oral presentation (comment why)
- ___ Acceptable, but should be poster rather than oral presentation (comment why)
- ___ Accept for oral presentation with these items addressed (state)
- ___ Accept for oral presentation